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I. CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW: EDUCATOR AS CRITICALLY REFLECTIVE PRACTITIONER

In concert with partners from the Virginia Department of Education, administrators, teachers, and counselors from the surrounding districts, and our colleagues in the College of Humanities and Sciences and the School of the Arts, the Virginia Commonwealth University School of Education faculty developed this conceptual framework to guide our work with educators. We believe that teachers who make informed and reasoned decisions are more likely to be effective. We believe that informed decisions are based on knowledge of the social context of schooling, subject matter knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, knowledge about how people learn, the applications of data-driven knowledge, and knowledge of differing cultures. Virtually all program courses and field experiences either implicitly or explicitly prepare candidates with an appropriate knowledge base on which educational decisions may be made.

Because we understand the complex nature of schooling, we value the idea that we are not teacher trainers, but teacher educators. As such, we are less concerned with “the correct definition of procedures for teachers to follow than the development of teachers’ capacities to make complex judgments based on deep understandings of students and subjects” (Darling-Hammond, 1994, p. 5). Our conceptual framework encompasses not only decision making, but the reflective qualities we see as prerequisite for quality judgments across the spectrum of education. The ideal that guides our thinking is the metaphor of the educator as critically reflective practitioner.

Critical Reflection

Critical reflection examines one’s work in education with scrutiny and takes into account the values, assumptions and beliefs that influence how problems and solutions are framed. Furthermore, critical reflection looks to the consequences of decisions for the long term, is aware of situational context, and advocates for democratic values in schools. The complexities of working with K-12 students are such that unless we support the development of critical reflection, the lifelong learning and professional growth of our graduates will suffer; a habit of critical reflection may serve them more than any other single trait. When reflection is cognizant of taking the perspective of another, is rigorously involved in reframing issues from several vantage points, and strives to inform situations holistically, we consider it to be critical reflection.

However eclectic, the research and literature underpinning our programs support the faculty’s overriding purpose: the improvement of the academic, personal, and social education of all children. Inherent in this purpose is a commitment to the deeply held conviction that all children can learn. We recognize the necessity of educating caring and competent teachers who are able to sustain the viability of this belief.

Pedagogically, we believe it is important to create environments that support active learning. Though methods may vary both within and between SOE classes from lecture, to cooperative learning, to case-based learning, to a Socratic interaction, to mock lessons, to technological demonstration, efforts are made to establish problem-based, contextual, real-life learning. The intent is to provide experiences for the conscious construction of knowledge in meaningful contexts.

In alignment with our School and Department missions, programs endeavor to enhance candidates’ understanding
of and commitment to the concepts of social justice and social responsibility in ways that support democratic ideals and enhance the human condition. To this end, we are openly committed to valuing diversity, collaboration, dialogue, and equity. Consequently, we search for ways to stay abreast of and engage in cutting edge research and other literature that inform these philosophies and assist in guiding their practical application. Our graduates demonstrate content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, commitment to diversity, and critical reflection. These four areas of mastery will, in turn, expertly inform professional practice.

II. INTERN/STUDENT TEACHING AT VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

Intern/student teaching is the culminating activity for all of the programs offered in the School and gives students an opportunity to demonstrate what they have learned during their professional academic preparation. Every teacher is a unique individual, and each of you brings uniqueness to your teaching. There are, however, requirements that all interns and student teachers must meet to successfully complete their program. The purpose of this Handbook is to provide the intern/student teacher, the cooperating teacher, the university supervisor and principal with an understanding of the common expectations for intern/student teaching. All interns or student teachers receive credit for practice teaching. In some programs the intern/student teacher is assigned to one placement for sixteen weeks and in others they are assigned to two eight week placements. The university supervisor in conjunction with the cooperating teacher may decide to modify some of the suggestions in this Handbook to better meet the needs of an individual student placement.

III. PROFESSIONAL ROLES IN INTERN/STUDENT TEACHING

A. Role of the Intern or Student Teacher:
The intern or student teacher is first and foremost a learner. In this developmental role, the intern/student teacher’s responsibilities will be increased gradually throughout the experience. The apprentice teacher will proceed from being an observer at the beginning of the experience to assuming the role of the full-time teacher. By this final stage, the intern/student teacher is expected to do all of the short and long-range planning, teach the entire day, and be aware of each student’s academic and social progress on a continuing basis. The intern/student teacher will be expected to communicate with parents and to attend faculty and PTA meetings. As a professional person, the intern/student teacher’s interactions with pupils, parents, faculty, staff and administration should reflect a high degree of professionalism. The intern/student teacher is always on stage and must learn to differentiate between facts and opinions. The effective teacher is enthusiastic and eager to offer ideas concerning curriculum, methods and control. The intern/student teacher helps make the learning environment an attractive and orderly place in which students will want to work. The intern/student teacher is tactful and remembers to keep classroom anecdotes confidential. Each pupil should be treated fairly as an individual because, during the school day, the teacher is one of the most important persons in the student’s life. Knowledge, skills and values attained by the preservice teacher through this critical experience add to the basis for making competent,
professional decisions. It is incumbent on the intern/student teacher to reflect critically upon the challenges and the successes in the classroom, to strive for growth along the clinical evaluation continuum, and to present evidence of a positive impact on student learning.

B. **Role of the Cooperating Teacher:**

The cooperating teacher has a dual role as: 1) a role model for good teaching, and 2) a resource person to help guide the prospective teacher’s development and decision-making effectiveness.

Briefly, the responsibilities entailed in each of these roles are:

1. **Role Model:** During the first four weeks, the intern/student teacher will, at least part of the time, observe the cooperating teacher in planning, control, rapport with students and other faculty, teaching methods and record keeping. Through these activities, the cooperating teacher demonstrates a professional model of ability, enthusiasm and concern for students. This model should provide a solid “support structure” for the intern/student teacher by furnishing a base upon which to build or from which to deviate as responsibility is assumed. The intern or student teacher needs many chances to see a seasoned professional at work. These observations give pre-service teachers something with which to compare their own performance.

2. **Resource Person:** The cooperating teacher should be available to answer questions as they arise. Specific daily critiques of the intern/student teacher’s performance are useful guides. Whenever possible, the cooperating teacher should play the role of “Devil’s Advocate,” questioning the intern/student teacher’s justification for plans, actions and choices in a helpful manner and asking the apprentice to explain decisions made. The cooperating teacher should help the intern/student teacher refine a philosophy of quality teaching. Sitting down together and exploring solutions is often preferable to offering answers. Highlighting an incident which the intern/student teacher handled during the day and working through solutions can be particularly useful. By using these and other techniques, the cooperating teacher can be an invaluable resource person in helping the apprentice teacher reflect on her or his challenges and successes in having a positive impact on student learning, and in growing along the clinical evaluation continuum.

C. **Role of the University Supervisor:**

The supervisor is not a teacher in the traditional sense but is a facilitator who represents the University. Because the intern teacher receives university credit for intern/student teaching, it is the supervisor’s job to assure that placements and experiences meet the standards established by the University. The supervisor must integrate university grading standards, the perceptions of the cooperating teacher, and his/her own professional judgments to arrive at a final grade. If there are problems between an intern/student teacher and a cooperating teacher, the supervisor will try to resolve them in a manner acceptable to all. Both the cooperating teacher and the intern/student teacher should feel free to contact the supervisor if problems arise. The supervisor is responsible as well for assessing intern/student teacher growth along the clinical evaluation continuum and for collecting
candidate reflection on student work samples. Additionally, the supervisor supports the Cooperating Teacher in the implementation of VCU policy and procedures.

D. **Role of the Principal**

The principal plays a significant role in the intern/student teaching process. He/she

- Identifies competent teachers with at least three years of teaching experience to host interns/student teachers
- Becomes familiar with the School of Education’s teacher preparation program and the program’s requirements for the student teaching internship
- Introduces the intern/student teacher to the staff at a faculty meeting
- Provides the intern/student teacher with a copy of the school’s faculty/staff handbook
- Reviews the school’s policies and procedures with the intern/student teacher
- Encourages the intern/student teacher to attend extracurricular activities
- Observes the intern/student teacher and provides feedback
- Completes School of Education surveys

IV. **EXPECTATIONS FOR INTERN/STUDENT TEACHERS**

Intern/student teachers are guests in the schools and are expected to work within the framework of school policies and procedures. Individual supervisors may request additional assignments or modify the following to meet specific placement needs:

A. **General Policies:**

1. **Interns and student teachers are not to serve as substitute teachers during the intern/student teaching experience.** It is the philosophy of the VCU School of Education faculty that the intern/student teacher functions as a learner and should be free of the responsibility imposed by substitute teacher status.

2. **Intern/student teachers are not to use any form of corporal punishment with pupils.**

3. All VCU intern/student teachers have had to pass a number of screening mechanisms before being accepted in intern/student teaching. In most cases they prove to be skillful, knowledgeable, and willing to work at becoming a teacher. There are instances, however, where even after a great deal of assistance from the cooperating teacher and university supervisor, the intern/student teacher’s ability, attitude or both are not up to professional standards. In these cases a student may be removed from the student teaching placement.

   Student teacher/interns will be terminated early, if it is determined by the university supervisor in consultation with school partners and with the Field Placement Office that the situation of a particular placement is damaging to young students or the student teacher, is against school/district or university policies/procedures, or compromises the quality and effectiveness of the VCU teacher education programs.
4. The opportunity to teach is both a privilege and a responsibility and no lesson should be taught until approved by the cooperating teacher

B. Attendance:

1. Intern and student teachers are expected to be in the building the same days and hours as regular teachers. They are expected to attend the same meetings and workshops as their cooperating teachers.
2. Interns and student teachers observe the same holidays that the schools observe. If VCU’s holiday schedule differs from that of the public schools, the intern/student teacher follows the schedule of the public schools.
3. Intern/student teachers must be familiar with the absence policy in their building. It is important that they contact the appropriate authority and that they take responsibility for having their lesson plans delivered to the school. Additionally, they must contact the university supervisor as far in advance as possible. Absences are inexcusable except in the case of illness, death in the family, or other serious circumstances.
4. The number of excused absences is not pre-determined; however, additional time will have to be spent in the intern/student teaching placement to make up for absences.

C. Early Hire Policy and Agreement Request Form

The Virginia Department of Education provides educator preparation providers the opportunity to allow students early release from student teaching to accept full-time teaching positions. Early release from the traditional internship experience occurs only in exceptional circumstances and is seen as a privilege to outstanding students.

Student conditions to be met prior to the release from the internship include:

(1) The elementary intern must complete 12 weeks of internship, eight weeks in the first placement and four weeks into the second placement.
(2) The early hire must be approved by the university supervisor, intern’s advisor, department chair and Executive Director for Accreditation and Licensure (signature approval).
(3) All TK20® and required work completed, saved, and scored by the end of the academic semester.
(4) A mentor assigned from the employing school.

The Early Hire Agreement allows interns enrolled in a VCU Teacher Preparation Program to complete the Master of Teaching Degree while hired as a teacher in a school division. Under this contract agreement, the School of Education agrees to provide a university supervisor from the date of hire to the end of the first year of teaching.
The school division agrees to provide a Teacher Mentor who will support the practicing intern for the first year of teaching.

**Early Release Guidelines:**
Requests for early release should be initiated by the school division interested in hiring the VCU intern. The school division’s Human Resources Specialist contacts the Executive Director for Accreditation and Licensure, VCU School of Education. Once notified, the Executive Director working collaboratively with the Coordinator of Field Experiences to immediately notify the students’ current principal and cooperating teacher via telephone, email and/or school visit.

The division’s Human Resource Specialist and the intern will begin the approval process by completing the Early Hire Agreement and Request Form (Appendix F). The student can access the Early Hire Agreement Form on the School of Education, Student Services website under forms and documents. The form is also located in the Internship Handbook.

After all signatures have been obtained and the form is received by the Executive Director of Accreditation and Licensure for final approval, the student will be notified of the official release. A copy of the completed form will be sent to the employing school division Human Resource Specialist.

Interns are required to complete all other course requirements, electronic evidence, other requirements from the department, program area or university, and attend mandatory seminars.

**C. Inclement Weather Policy**
In the event of inclement weather that exceeds 5 teaching days, the School of Education reserves the right to extend the student teaching experience beyond the scheduled completion date. Students will be informed of the extension by VCU email no later than two weeks prior to the ending of each placement. Students will be expected to complete two full weeks of student teaching in each placement regardless of school closure decisions.

**D. Schedule of Involvement:**
How quickly the intern/student teacher assumes total teaching responsibility depends upon the particular assignment, the cooperating teacher, the supervisor, and the intern/student teacher’s initiative, enthusiasm, ability and the length of time of the placement. The goal is a reversal of roles during which the intern/student teacher becomes the teacher and the cooperating teacher becomes an observer or functions as a teacher aide. Some general guidelines for involvement are:
1. Initial Phase (one-two weeks)

The **first week** is an important one. During this time, the intern/student teacher has an opportunity to become acquainted with the students, the teacher and the administration, observing both the pupils and the cooperating teacher. The intern/student teacher notices routines, methods of control, and expectations for individual students. Awareness of individual personalities, abilities, attention spans and signs of atypical behavior can be particularly helpful in the future. In addition to observing, the intern/student teacher serves as a teacher aide, helping individuals, teaching short lessons that the cooperating teacher has prepared, checking papers and doing various other duties. This is also a time to become familiar with materials in the room and in the school and to learn to operate school A-V equipment. Learning the appropriate procedures to follow in case of fire drill or other emergency situations is also important. It is especially an opportunity to discuss with the cooperating teacher how she or he makes decisions. Other tasks during this time include:

a. Meet the principal and become acquainted with the teaching staff.
b. Tour the school and learn what facilities are available and where they are located.
c. Learn procedures for using materials and supplies.
d. Familiarize yourself with the neighborhood and with the backgrounds of the children.
e. Learn the school procedures, safety and emergency regulations.
f. Become familiar with the school handbook.
g. Learn the students’ names.
h. Explore the materials within your teaching area.
i. Learn procedures.
j. Study the socio-emotional climate of the class.
k. Assist with the class routine and related duties.

**Second week** - In addition to continuing all of the previously mentioned tasks, the intern or student teacher should prepare for and teach one or two groups or subjects daily. The intern/student teacher should also be spending time on the preparation of a unit, learning center, or project. This is a good time to begin hall duty, lunch count, lunch duty, bus duty, attendance and other instructional responsibilities.

2. Period of Increasing Responsibility

The Prospective teacher should continue to add one or two more subjects or groups to prepare for and teach each week. If this seems to be too much, the cooperating teacher should limit more responsibility until the intern/student teacher is comfortable with the teaching load. Adding a few non-teaching duties each week helps make the assumption of full classroom responsibility easier.

In addition to the regular duties, the intern/student teacher should begin planning a unit of study to be taught during the next phase.

Other tasks to be completed within this period include:

a. Review cumulative records.
b. Plan and develop pupil lesson handouts in advance with the cooperating teacher.

c. Write thorough lesson plans.

d. Evaluate each lesson after it has been taught.

e. Increase the teaching load each week by adding new subjects or by increasing the number of pupils taught.

f. Attend faculty meetings, parent/teacher conferences, PTA meetings, etc. These meetings are absolutely required of all interns and student teachers, and any exceptions must be cleared well in advance with the university supervisor and cooperating teacher.

g. Read the curriculum guides and determine the goals and objectives for the grade.

3. Period of Full Responsibility

During this time, the intern or student teacher should be the teacher, assuming full responsibility for planning and teaching except in areas where the cooperating teacher may wish to act as a teacher aide (teaching lessons the intern/student teacher has prepared) or as a co-teacher. In addition to actual teaching responsibilities, the prospective teacher should be handling duties such as taking attendance, collecting lunch money, checking equipment, and ordering films. He/she should also be responsible for the transitional times between lessons and activities to ensure that the day flows smoothly from one period to another.

Other Tasks for this Period Include:

a. Write daily and weekly lesson plans. The weekly plan should be written in a condensed version and be consonant with the school’s format.

b. Participate in all activities that are a part of a teacher’s responsibilities.

c. Evaluate teaching performance on a daily basis. Such evaluation includes reflection on student learning and gathering evidence of a positive impact on student learning.

4. Period of Decreasing Responsibilities

The cooperating teacher should gradually resume responsibility for the class during the last week. By the last day, the intern or student teacher should take a passive role. This is a good week to observe in other classes or in other schools. By this time the intern/student teacher will be able to evaluate observations and relate them to personal experience. Observations should be scheduled through appropriate channels within the school system.

E. Lesson Plans:

Intern or student teachers should write a lesson plan for every lesson they teach (except impromptu, spur-of-the-moment ones). Lesson plans and materials for the entire day should be available for the cooperating teacher to see before class begins. An intern/student teacher who has not shown satisfactory evidence of planning should not be allowed to teach the lesson. It is the cooperating teacher’s right to see written plans and it is the
cooperating teacher’s responsibility to deny the practice teacher the opportunity to present a lesson if the plans and materials are not appropriate. Lesson plans should be shared daily in advance of their being taught.

Points to remember:
1. Lesson plans should be kept in chronological order in a folder or notebook and submitted to the university supervisor each time he/she visits.
2. Use concise phrases rather than long sentences whenever possible. List objectives, activities (#1, #2, #3, etc.) rather than writing them out in paragraph form.
3. Lesson plan guidelines can be found in Appendix A.
4. Once the supervisor and cooperating teacher are assured of the intern/student teacher’s ability to plan, usually sometime after the midpoint in the experience, they can suggest shorter formats for planning.

F. Teaching Unit:

All individuals are expected to plan and teach at least one teaching unit during the practice teaching experience. The unit should be planned in consultation with the cooperating teacher and be consonant with curriculum expectations. A teaching unit is an area of instruction that is divided into lessons which are of appropriate difficulty so that pupils can achieve success. Each lesson builds upon the learning from the previous lesson and the concepts in the unit progress from simplest to most complex. A culminating experience ties the unit together and aids the application of learning. The major focus of the unit should be on the instructional processes in which the student will be involved.

Points to remember:
1. A draft of the proposed unit must be submitted to the cooperating teacher and the university supervisor for approval prior to being taught.
2. The completed written document must be submitted for approval before it is taught. Failure to do so may result in having to plan a new unit and to extending the experience.
3. A unit plan format with detailed explanation can be found in Appendix B.

V. COMMON PROBLEMS IN INTERN/STUDENT TEACHING

The nature of intern/student teaching is one of learning about teaching. All learning situations bring opportunities for success and failure, and intern/student teaching is the culminating activity for teacher education, it needs each participant’s undivided attention.

A. Competing Activities. Such activity may take the form of a job, university class work, social activity, or athletics. However, any activity that infringes upon an intern/student teacher’s performance should be discontinued or sharply modified for the duration of intern/student teaching. The intern/student teacher’s primary responsibility is to the students, their parents, the cooperating teacher and the school.
B. **Appearance.** The teaching role is a professional role, one in which pertinent personal hygiene and appropriate dress are expected.

C. **Lack of understanding of tasks that are basic to teaching.** A partial list of those problems include:

1. inability to maintain discipline/classroom control
2. not motivating pupil interest
3. not being organized
4. incomplete planning
5. failure to budget time
6. lack of command of subject matter
7. unethical behavior
8. unsatisfactory performance

Once a problem area is identified, the intern/student teacher, cooperating teacher, and university supervisor should work together on remediation. Ultimately, the prospective teacher is responsible for his/her behavior, and the final evaluation should reflect all continuing problem areas.

**VI. EVALUATION**

The purpose of evaluation is twofold – to assist with and to document the intern’s growth throughout the semester. Evaluation begins during the first week and continues through the end of the internship.

Observations and critiques by the cooperating teacher and the university supervisor are designed to guide and enlighten the intern’s teaching performance and assist in socializing interns as professionals.

A major part of the evaluation process is the weekly meeting between the cooperating teacher and the intern. This should be at least a one-hour period where they can discuss, uninterrupted, the interns’ planning, decisions, management, strengths and weaknesses, and what kinds of specific behaviors need to be improved. At the end of the meeting, both the intern and the cooperating teacher should be aware of what is expected for the coming week. It is helpful to keep a written record of all suggestions. The “Collaborative Reflection Log” may be useful here and should be completed in 16 week placements at least four times after formal observations by cooperating teachers in a semester. For 8 week placements, each cooperating teacher should complete the Log two times for each placement. The collaborative reflection discussion may lead to completing a “Plan for Reflective Growth.”

The university supervisor will make at least six visits during the semester. At least four formal observations will
be made during the semester. After each observation, the university supervisor will confer with the intern and complete the Collaborative Reflection Log. A minimum of four logs will be completed by the university supervisor.

Formal, online Mid-term (formative) and Final Evaluations (summative) will be collected in Tk20 - VCU School of Education’s assessment system - using an online version of the Clinical Evaluation Continuum (see Appendix B) instrument and will be completed individually by the intern, the cooperating teacher, and the university supervisor. A joint meeting of these three people will be held at the mid-term and final evaluation times to discuss each perspective. The building principal will also conduct a final evaluation via a survey housed in REDCap - the university’s survey platform.

The Supervisor’s and the Cooperating Teacher’s formal mid-term and final Clinical Evaluation Continuum evaluations will be available to the intern online in Tk20. Interns will also be given the opportunity to give feedback on their programs and clinical experiences via clinical and graduation exit surveys that are also collected in Tk20. All data collected in Tk20 are reported to departments, programs and decision-makers in the aggregate and used for continuous improvement of programs, courses, and clinical experiences. The evaluations will be maintained for use by school district personnel directors. The mid-term evaluation is intended as a formative evaluation to guide the next steps in the intern’s development. The final evaluation is meant to be summative and will drive the grading criteria.

The intern’s grades are awarded by the university supervisor after consultation with the cooperating teacher. These grades should be considered a reflection of how well the intern performed assigned duties.

Additionally, all interns are asked to completion several evaluations related to the internship experience and overall program that provide feedback and support program continuous improvement: Candidate Evaluation of Clinical Placement, Graduation Exit Survey and Advising Survey.
Appendix A

Unit Plan Guidelines

The outline presented is intended for use during the student teaching experience. It also serves as a nucleus to be expanded in appropriate methods courses. Preservice teachers gain competence in decision-making as unit plan guidelines are developed and implemented.

Title:

Age/Grade Levels:

Time Required:

I. Introduction
   A statement including general information concerning the importance of the unit, area of concentration, and the relationship to the general instructional program.

II. Objectives
   A. General Objectives
      1. Knowledge and understandings to be acquired.
      2. Skills to be learned.
      3. Attitudes/appreciation to be acquired.
   B. Specific Objectives
      Relationship to general objectives.
      Incorporation into the daily plans.
      Pupil objectives resulting from cooperative planning as the unit progresses.

III. Daily plans (refer to Lesson Plan Guide format)
   Daily plans are organized into three types or categories:
   A. Introductory lessons-to present overview, to motivate.
   B. Developmental lessons-designed to achieve the objectives.
   C. Culminating lessons-to provide for summarizing, generalizing, synthesizing, and extrapolating.

IV. Evaluation (must relate to objectives)
   Evaluation may include some of the following techniques:
   A. Pre-test/post-test comparisons
   B. Self-evaluation
   C. Subjective and objective tests
   D. Informal observation and question sessions
   E. Work samples
   F. Pupil-teacher conferences
   G. Outgrowths of culminating lessons

V. Resources-a listing should include all resources to be used in a unit. For example:
   A. Pictures or projections
   B. Books (noting types and difficulty)
   C. Displays, models, specimens, collections, etc.
   D. Maps, charts, graphs, diagrams
   E. Films and videotapes
   F. Computers and software
   G. Audiotapes or records
   H. Field trips and excursions
   I. Interviews and guest speakers
   J. Art and music materials
OVERVIEW OF REVISIONS TO CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM

Thank you for working with our VCU students who are or will be clinical candidates during the 2017-2018 academic year. Throughout the clinical candidate experience, you are tasked with evaluating the skills of the candidate(s) and we are asking that you use an UPDATED Clinical Evaluation Continuum for Candidates in Initial Licensure Programs. This continuum should be completed and then electronically submitted by you, using Tk20 assessment software, to the School of Education (SOE) Office of Assessment. The revised continuum was developed by faculty, vetted by stakeholders, and put into place for use during the fall 2017 semester. This 2017-2018 academic year is our first year of required data collection for our upcoming accreditation review by the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) and the revised continuum is part of that effort. The new items are being piloted this year and will not contribute to the student’s grade. As such, please do your best to evaluate each of these key elements and select “NA” if the opportunity for assessment of an element was not available. However, it is our desire that all criteria would be evaluated by the completion of the final evaluation process. Below you will find a table identifying the new items. Please contact David Spivey at dwspivey@vcu.edu or (804) 827-1567 if you have questions or concerns. Also, please send any real-time feedback, questions, or concerns about the items themselves to Angie Wetzel, Director of Assessment at apwetzel@vcu.edu

RUBRIC PROJECT – REVISION PROCESS

1. Summer 2016: Rubric team, with representation from all licensure areas, revised continuum to reflect updated state and national standards
2. Fall 2016: SOE/SOA faculty review of revised continuum; faculty recommendation to incorporate Standard 6 items from Richmond Teacher Residency
3. Spring 2017: P12 partner review of revised continuum to evaluate content validity
4. Spring 2017: Assessment Committee review of all feedback and content validity indices; recommend adoption of revised continuum for implementation fall 2017
RUBRIC PROJECT – PILOT PROCESS

1. Implementation of revised continuum fall 2017 – spring 2018; all new items under pilot review
   a. Evaluators assess candidates on all items
      i. If unsure how to assess a candidate on a new item, do your best using available guidance
      ii. If a candidate does not have the opportunity to demonstrate skills for a new item, please select "No opportunity to observe"
   b. Evaluators will be prompted to provide feedback on their process of assessment on new items.

2. Analysis of revised continuum to evaluate implementation fidelity for new items
   a. Inter-rater reliability – do cooperating teachers and university supervisors demonstrate consistency in assessing new items?
   b. Internal consistency – do the new items in fact measure what we are hoping to measure (e.g., technology skills, advocacy for social justice and equity and relationships with community/families)?

3. Program faculty, Office of Assessment, Assessment Committee, and P12 partner review of pilot findings to recommend revisions and training for 2018-19 academic year

**Please send any real-time feedback, questions, or concerns to Angie Wetzel, Director of Assessment at apwetzel@vcu.edu**
### SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT REVISIONS TO CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of item revision</th>
<th>Item number</th>
<th>Key notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notable revisions to prior key elements</td>
<td>1f</td>
<td>Revised to include collaboration among students and student problem solving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Addition of cross-disciplinary knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a/4b</td>
<td>The previous item, 4a, was divided into two items now reflected as 4a and 4b.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>Significant wordsmithing for clarity and purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All rows/elements</td>
<td>Addition of language for Unacceptable column</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly developed items</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>Incorporated RTR item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2g</td>
<td>New technology item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h</td>
<td>New item to address planning for development of P12 student problem solving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3g</td>
<td>New technology item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4i</td>
<td>New technology item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5o</td>
<td>New technology item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>Incorporated RTR item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>Incorporated RTR item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>Incorporated RTR item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>Incorporated RTR item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted items</td>
<td>Previously labeled 5d</td>
<td>Duplicative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impact on Scoring and Grading**

If your program has historically used a specific totaled-point-scale to derive a letter grade from the clinical evaluation continuum, please use the previous scoring procedure and point-scale. Simply disregard the assessed values for the new rows (i.e., 1h, 2g, 2h, 3g, 4i, 5o, and all rows in section 6) when calculating the score for this pilot year. The attached document contains yellow highlights to indicate the new rows. Please don't hesitate to call (804) 827-1567 or e-mail dwspivey@vcu.edu if you have questions or concerns.
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ADMINISTRATION, PURPOSE, USE

Purpose
Consistent with the School of Education’s conceptual framework, the purpose of the Clinical Evaluation Continuum is to prepare candidates to reflect on their practice and to provide a system for candidates, cooperating teachers, and supervisors to assess an intern’s growth during the internship or externship.

Administration
University supervisors and cooperating teachers should conduct four formal observations of candidates during the semester and complete the Continuum twice, once at midterm and once at the end of the experience. For programs with two placements (e.g. elementary), the cooperating teacher observes the candidate only twice and completes the Continuum once at the end of each placement. Candidates should complete the continuum twice prior to the discussion with the cooperating teacher and supervisor.

The Continuum is meant to be used in tandem with two other forms, the Collaborative Reflection Log and the Individual Learning Plan or Plan for Reflective Growth. The purpose of the latter two forms is to generate discussion among the candidate, cooperating teacher, and university supervisor about the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate. Only the supervisor and cooperating teacher’s completed Clinical Evaluation Continuum and are submitted to the School of Education Office of Assessment at the conclusion of the clinical experience.

Use of data

- Candidate level: Candidates receive electronic copies of the university supervisors and clinical faculty or cooperating teacher’s Continuum evaluation of performance. This evaluation occurs twice each semester. For candidates with one placement (16 weeks), there are mid- and final placement assessments. For candidates with two placements (eight weeks each), assessments occur after each placement. In cases where candidates are performing at “Beginning” or “Unacceptable” levels on rubric assessments, candidates use a combination of reflection and individual attention and mentoring to identify the sources of weakness and take corrective action.

- Program level: Candidate assessment data on the Continuum are aggregated by the Office of Assessment annually at both the program level and the EPP level. Aggregate data reports are shared with program faculty, the Assessment Committee, the Professional Education Coordinating Council, and broadly via the SOE Public Data web page. Faculty review data in program
and department meetings to inform needed revisions to curricula or training/communication with evaluators. Programs report on their analysis of data and any subsequent uses of data for improvement annually.

**SCORING GUIDE**

The Continuum consists of six standards, each with a series of key elements. The candidate is assessed on each element across the continuum from unacceptable to target. Each level presumes that the candidate has reached the previous level. The expectation is that the candidate has reached the beginning level based on coursework and practica experiences prior to the internship or externship. For the target level, the expectation is that the candidate at the end of the experience, while not yet reaching the level of an accomplished teacher, shows characteristics of an accomplished teacher for the appropriate key element. For example, for key element 1b (plans and implements procedures and routines that support student learning) at the target level, a candidate is expected to have experimented with a variety of procedures and routines and made successful adjustments while an accomplished teacher would consistently and confidently make successful adjustments with routine and procedures as necessary.

Candidates are evaluated on each key element on a scale of 0 (unacceptable) to six (target). Scores of 1-2 may be given for candidates at the beginning level, 3-4 for those at the acceptable level, and 5-6 for those at the target level. For each level except for unacceptable (where the rating is 0), there is a high end (2, 4, 6) and a low end (1, 3, 5). The importance of each key element may vary from program to program, but all elements should be discussed and evaluated, even if not observed. A rating of “No opportunity to observe” (N) is permissible for the midterm evaluation but not for the final evaluation.

**INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT**

Guiding frameworks and standards

Item content reflects the proficiencies detailed in the VCU conceptual framework, Educator as Critically Reflective Practitioner. Further, Continuum items align to the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards categories (i.e., the learner and learning, content, instructional practice, and professional responsibility) specified in CAEP component 1.1 and to the four additional components in Standard 1 that detail candidate proficiencies (i.e., use of research and evidence, content and pedagogical knowledge, access to college- and career-ready standards for all students, and use of technology to improve student learning and enrich professional practice). In addition to CAEP technology expectations, candidate expectations for use of technology are consistent with the TPACK Framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006).
Rubric development

Initial licensure programs initially adopted the Clinical Evaluation Continuum in 2004 as a common measure of clinical performance at the end of a candidate’s program. The Clinical Evaluation Task Force, composed of education preparation provider (EPP) faculty and public school partners was created in 2004 to refine the training, assessment and evaluation of candidates in student teaching and internship experiences. The Task Force drafted a rubric, adapted from the Continuum developed by the Santa Cruz New Teacher Project for its induction/mentoring program. Faculty discussed the rubric at department meetings and at a brownbag lunch. Additional refinements were made based on their comments and those of the former NCATE Assessment Subcommittee. Teachers enrolled in the Clinical Faculty Training course also reviewed the Continuum and shared their comments with the Task Force. The revised draft was shared with university supervisors at a training session in January 2005 and piloted during that semester. Subsequently, the Task Force met with university supervisors in mid-May 2005 to review the pilot effort and discuss issues. The Task Force then made revisions to the document for use in 2005-2006. At each of these meetings, cooperating teachers/clinical faculty and university faculty, and public school partners, examined the clinical evaluation instrument to ensure its connection with requisite professional standards. In a study of reliability of the Clinical Evaluation Continuum, the School of Education Office of Assessment found that the instrument yielded consistent results. Further an analysis of inter-rater agreement on line item ratings indicated that 94% to 99% were in exact agreement or off by one point.

The CAEP Rubric Team, formed in 2016, collaborated to review the Continuum in light of new CAEP standards for assessment of candidate proficiencies. The team consisted of program faculty from elementary, secondary, early childhood special education, special education general education, art education, and music education content areas, as well as instructional technology. Through iterative cycles of review, the group revised item language and developed new items as needed to ensure alignment of Continuum items to the proficiencies detailed in CAEP Standard 1 components. The CAEP Evaluation Framework for EPP-Created Assessments and CAEP Evidence Guide guided item revisions and new item development, with a focus on evidence for test content by way of standards alignment and expert faculty participation, and evidence for response process with discussions centered on assessment fidelity in classrooms across grade levels, content areas, and school divisions.

In academic year 2016-17, EPP faculty from School of Education and School of the Arts reviewed the revised rubric and provided suggested revisions individually and collectively as program groups. Subsequently, the EPP invited P12 partners to engage in review the measure. Specifically, fifteen clinical faculty experienced in clinical evaluation of teacher candidates were invited to rate relevance of individual items, in an expert review activity to determine the content representativeness of the rubric items (Lynn, 1986). P12 partners work in varied content areas and across school levels. Ten clinical faculty provided complete responses (67%) including representation from all four neighboring school divisions (Chesterfield (2); Hanover (2); Henrico (4); Richmond (2)). and across content areas and school levels (Elementary (2); Music (1); Art (2); Secondary Social Studies (2); Secondary English (2); Secondary Science (1)). Respondents rated the relevance of each item on a four point scale (1=irrelevant, 4=extremely relevant) and offered specific language edits, as necessary. The Office of Assessment calculated item and scale level content validity indices. The Item-
Content Validity Index (I-CVI) is the proportion of experts endorsing an item’s relevance, indicated by selecting 3 (relevant) or 4 (extremely relevant) for a particular item. With ten experts, a proportion of .78 or greater was required for item retention in the measure, based on recommendations by Lynn (1986). I-CVI for all 51 items exceeded .78. Seven of 51 items achieved I-CVI of 80% or 90%; the remaining 44 achieved I-CVI of 100%. These items were presented to the EPP Assessment Committee, along with item feedback from clinical faculty for review. The EPP Assessment Committee includes representation from each School of Education department and from art education and music education in the School of the Arts. Committee members discussed the feedback, reviewed rubric key elements and the associated behavioral indicators of those elements, and recommended items be retained without further revision given high I-CVI, indicating relevance to the construct.

VALIDITY EVIDENCE

- Continuum rubric items are aligned with nationally recognized professional standards, including InTASC standards and CAEP components, and are also aligned with the TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) Framework and Commonwealth of Virginia state competencies.
- Content area expert faculty participated in both the initial development and subsequent revisions and additions to the Continuum.
- Program faculty, clinical faculty, and P12 partners provided ratings of item relevance and clarity. From these ratings, the Office of Assessment computed scale and item content validity indices. (I-CVI > .80 for all items; S-CVI = 1.00)
- The EPP supports an appeals process that allows undergraduate and graduate students the right to appeal course grades they consider to have been arbitrarily and capriciously assigned or assigned without regard for the criteria, requirements, and procedures of the course stated in the syllabus or guidelines for assignments. All appeal files are confidential.

RELIABILITY EVIDENCE

- All raters (cooperating teachers, clinical faculty, university supervisors, program faculty) receive comprehensive training on the Continuum.
- Multiple raters are used to assess candidates’ clinical performance. University supervisors and cooperating teachers both assess candidates’ clinical experiences.
- Candidates are assessed two times on the Continuum during the clinical experience; some programs use the Continuum as a formative assessment during practicum also.
- TO COME: (INSERT Inter-rater reliability coefficient = XX)
- TO COME: (INSERT Reliability analysis (coefficient alpha) is calculated = XX)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>a. Establishes, monitors, and maintains high expectations for student behavior.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate communicates expectations; develops an awareness of student behavior and/or reflects on the situation.</td>
<td>Communicates expectations; develops an awareness of student behavior and reflects on the situation.</td>
<td>Promotes appropriate student behavior through positive reinforcements and proactive measures. When student behavior is inappropriate or disruptive, clarifies expectations and intervenes as necessary.</td>
<td>Monitors student behavior throughout the day, selecting strategies that prevent or lessen disruptive behavior, reinforcing expectations for behavior, and intervening when necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>b. Plans and implements procedures and routines that support student learning.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate is aware of procedures and routines that support student learning and/or can identify procedures being utilized in a classroom.</td>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of procedures and routines that support student learning and can identify procedures being utilized in a classroom.</td>
<td>Implements classroom procedures and guides students to use routines and procedures that expedite organization and instruction.</td>
<td>Analyzes usefulness of selected procedures and routines and makes appropriate adjustments or implements alternative routines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>c. Uses classroom space to promote learning.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate recognizes how use of space can promote learning and/or can identify how space in a classroom is allocated to instructional purposes.</td>
<td>Recognizes how use of space can promote learning and can identify how space in a classroom is allocated to instructional purposes.</td>
<td>Arranges and manages space to encourage student learning through efficient access to resources, delivery of instruction and interaction of students and teacher.</td>
<td>Reflects on and adjusts room set-up to smooth classroom procedures, discourage disruptions, improve delivery of instruction, and promote interaction with students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>d. Uses time effectively.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate recognizes the importance of using time effectively and/or can identify time management tools already in place and their purpose.</td>
<td>Recognizes the importance of using time effectively and can identify time management tools already in place and their purpose.</td>
<td>Plans and implements time management strategies that address transitions between activities and back up plans when activities take more or less time than planned.</td>
<td>Reflects on strategies used for managing time effectively, making adjustments to routines and adopting new plans that maximize time on task for student learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

#### Standard 1: Creating and Maintaining a Positive and Safe Learning Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>e. Communicates and models fair and respectful treatment of all.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate understands that interpersonal relationships can impact student learning, and is aware of strategies for responding to unfairness</td>
<td>Understands that interpersonal relationships can impact student learning, and is aware of strategies for responding to unfairness and disrespect that interfere with learning.</td>
<td>Establishes caring, friendly interaction with students by modeling respect for differences and responds to incidents of unfairness to diffuse conflict and restore a positive learning environment.</td>
<td>Evaluates and adjusts practice to maintain caring, respectful, and equitable student relationships and encourages students to understand personal differences and to deal fairly and respectfully with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4</td>
<td>f. Promotes social development and group responsibility by designing and implementing learning experiences that require collaboration and communication skills in order to solve problems and think critically.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate develops an awareness of the importance of social development and group responsibility and/or encourages student responsibility for self.</td>
<td>Develops an awareness of the importance of social development and group responsibility; encourages student responsibility for self.</td>
<td>Plans and implements strategies and activities to develop students’ collaboration and communication skills as well as individual responsibility and recognition of others’ rights and needs. Students share in classroom responsibility. Reflects on the use of strategies and activities used to promote social development and group responsibility.</td>
<td>Promotes positive student interactions as members of large and small groups. Provides some opportunities for student leadership within the classroom. Makes changes to strategies and activities used to develop individual responsibility and recognition of others’ rights and needs based on critical reflection of their effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 1, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>g. Effectively communicates and works with administrators, colleagues, support personnel, families, and volunteers.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate recognizes the need for establishing effective working relationships and/or factual two-way communication with colleagues, support personnel, families, and volunteers.</td>
<td>Recognizes the need for establishing effective working relationships and factual two-way communication with colleagues, support personnel, families, and volunteers.</td>
<td>Engages colleagues, support personnel, families, and volunteers in two-way communication that is positive, consistent, and relevant to student needs and does so using established policies and procedures for confidentiality.</td>
<td>Reflects on communication both delivered to and received from colleagues, support personnel, families, and volunteers and makes adaptations that ensure communication is positive, consistent, and relevant to student needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 4, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>h. Uses cultural competence to create safe, respectful learning environments for all students.</td>
<td>No acknowledgement of the limitations of a colorblind approach to teaching and learning.</td>
<td>Acknowledges the limitations of a colorblind approach to teaching and learning and begins to recognize alternatives.</td>
<td>Understands the ways in which teachers’ own cultural identities affects teaching and learning. Seeks knowledge of students’ culture as a means to teach effectively.</td>
<td>Fosters a classroom environment in which students become increasingly self-aware about their own cultural identity construction and knowledgeable about and respectful of the cultures of others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA 1, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)

**Level of Growth:**
- **N**=No opportunity to observe
- **0**=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level)
- **1-2**=Beginning
- **3-4**=Acceptable
- **5-6**=Target
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4 InTASC 1, 4, 7, 8 VA 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>a. Demonstrates knowledge of subject matter content including cross-disciplinary connections and student development.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate identifies key concepts from subject matter concepts and connections across disciplines and/or key factors in student development.</td>
<td>Uses key ideas from subject matter, including cross-disciplinary connections, to develop instructional activities appropriate for the developmental level of students.</td>
<td>Reflects on instructional activities and makes adjustments for student social, emotional, and intellectual development to promote clear, coherent understanding of key ideas across disciplines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.5 InTASC 2, 7, 8 VA 3 Diversity Technology</td>
<td>b. Uses research-based instructional strategies and resources in planning instruction.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate has awareness of a variety of research-based instructional strategies and resources.</td>
<td>Effectively uses research-based instructional strategies and resources in planning instruction.</td>
<td>Effectively uses multiple research-based instructional strategies and resources in planning instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4 InTASC 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 VA 1, 2, 3 Diversity</td>
<td>c. Selects and communicates learning goals that are consistent with state and national content standards and students’ development.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate articulates state and national content standards and develops learning goals consistent with content standards and student development.</td>
<td>Uses learning goals that reflect state standards and student development to design appropriate educational activities; communicates goals to students.</td>
<td>Reflects on learning goals and links them closely to educational activities in a clear, coherent fashion. Goals set high expectation for all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1 InTASC 1, 4, 7, 8 VA 3</td>
<td>d. Organizes curriculum and instructional sequences to support student understanding of content.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate articulates key elements of curriculum design that support student understanding of content and/or develops some lessons that teach an idea or skill.</td>
<td>Uses knowledge of subject matter to organize units of instruction in a sequence that promotes student understanding of key ideas.</td>
<td>Reflects on units of instruction and makes improvements that integrate learning goals, content standards, and educational activities in a cohesive sequence that promotes student understanding of key ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

#### Standard 2: Planning for Instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 InTASC 1, 2, 7, 8 VA 3 Diversity</td>
<td>e. Prepares and adjusts instructional options based on assessment of students in changing situations to make learning accessible to all students.</td>
<td>Shows no awareness of need for adjustment in response to student needs and unexpected events.</td>
<td>Shows an awareness of need for adjustment in response to student needs and unexpected events.</td>
<td>Adjusts lessons using on the spot assessment of student understanding and unexpected events without advance planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1 InTASC 10 VA 5</td>
<td>f. Coordinates the use of support personnel, families, and volunteers to achieve learning goals.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate identifies support personnel and volunteers nor articulates ways support personnel, families, and volunteers might assist.</td>
<td>Identifies support personnel and volunteers; articulates ways support personnel, families, and volunteers might assist.</td>
<td>Communicates with support personnel, families, and volunteers; organizes instructional activities and procedures that use support personnel, families and volunteers to assist learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.5 InTASC 7 VA 3 Technology</td>
<td>g. Candidates demonstrate the ability to design meaningful digital learning experiences.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate utilizes digital tools in the design of learning experiences.</td>
<td>Utilizes digital tools in the design of learning experiences.</td>
<td>Effectively utilizes digital tools in the design of learning experiences to enhance student learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.4 VA 2, 3</td>
<td>h. Plans for opportunities for students to problem-solve and think critically to make content meaningful and relevant.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate identifies educational practices that create opportunities for students to problem-solve and think critically to make content meaningful.</td>
<td>Identifies educational practices that create opportunities for students to problem-solve and think critically to make content meaningful.</td>
<td>Lesson plans include opportunities such as simulations, case studies, project based learning, and collaborative team work that encourage problem solving and critical thinking that make content meaningful and give students ownership.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)

**Level of Growth:**
- **N**=No opportunity to observe
- **0**=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level)
- **1-2**=Beginning
- **3-4**=Acceptable
- **5-6**=Target
| Standard 3: Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Alignments** | **Key Elements** | **Unacceptable** | **Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)** | **Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)** | **Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)** |
| CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 InTASC 2, 7, 8 VA 1, 3 Diversity | a. Uses a variety of research-based educational practices that are responsive to students’ diverse needs and experiences. | No evidence candidate realizes that good instructional practice incorporates both research-based practices and information of students’ diverse needs and experiences, and/or begins to make decisions based on both of these factors. | Realizes that good instructional practice incorporates both research-based practices and information of students’ diverse needs and experiences, and begins to make decisions based on both of these factors. | Uses research-based educational practices that are responsive to students’ diverse backgrounds including disabilities, limited English proficiency, and cultural experiences to design lessons. | Uses a wide variety of materials and resources to access and build upon students’ prior knowledge, interests, instructional and linguistic needs to extend student understanding. Reflects on educational practices and makes changes to those practices based upon research base as well as knowledge of students’ diverse needs and experiences. |
| CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 InTASC 1, 2, 7, 8 VA 1, 3 Diversity | b. Connects or links evidence of students’ prior knowledge, life experience, and interests, and other course content, with learning goals. | No evidence candidate understands that students learn best when learning goals link to data on prior knowledge, life experiences, and interests. | Understands that students learn best when learning goals link to data on prior knowledge, life experiences, and interests. | Motivates students by regularly drawing connections between learning goals and concepts and data on the prior knowledge, life experiences and interests of students. | Reviews the clarity of the link between learning goals and data on students’ prior knowledge, life experiences and interests and makes adjustments and modifications to refine and communicate that connection to students. |
| CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 InTASC 5, 7, 8 VA 1, 3, 4 Diversity | c. Facilitates learning experiences that incorporate self-direction, interaction, collaboration, choice, and consideration of multiple perspectives. | No evidence candidate is aware that learning experiences need to incorporate self-direction, interaction, collaboration, choice and consideration of multiple perspectives. | Demonstrates awareness that learning experiences need to incorporate self-direction, interaction, collaboration, choice and consideration of multiple perspectives. | Selects and implements learning experiences that encourage students to consider multiple perspectives and to interact and collaborate with teacher and peers; provides some opportunities for students to select from learning activity options. | Reflects on how learning experiences promoted students’ consideration of multiple perspectives and reflects on the effectiveness of student interactions and collaborations during learning experiences; incorporates self directed activities appropriate for the cognitive and social development and skill set of students. |
| CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 InTASC 2, 9, 10 VA 1, 3 Diversity | d. Employs educational practices such as problem-solving and critical thinking that make content meaningful and relevant. | No evidence candidate recognizes that educational practices such as problem-solving, critical thinking, and goal setting make content meaningful and encourage retention and mastery. | Recognizes that educational practices such as problem-solving, critical thinking, and goal setting make content meaningful and encourage retention and mastery. | Employs educational practices such as simulations, case studies, project based learning, and collaborative team work that encourage problem solving and critical thinking that make content meaningful and give students ownership. | Reviews lessons for effectiveness and makes appropriate modifications based on student’s demonstrated ability to apply concepts to projects, use critical thinking and direct their own work. |
**CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS**

**Standard 3: Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4 InTASC 2, 9, 10 VA 3, 4, 6 Diversity</td>
<td>e. Communicates an ethic of caring, commitment and high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate values caring, commitment, and/or high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>Demonstrates the value of caring, commitment, and high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>Regularly communicates an ethic of caring, commitment and high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>Reflects on his/her ability to communicate an ethic of caring, commitment and high expectations for all students. Makes changes as necessary based on critical reflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, InTASC 9, 10 VA 3, 4, 6 Diversity</td>
<td>f. Develops appropriate rapport with students</td>
<td>No evidence candidate is aware of the importance of appropriate rapport with students.</td>
<td>Demonstrates an awareness of the importance of appropriate rapport with students.</td>
<td>Exhibits signs of appropriate rapport with students and reflects on the extent and nature of rapport</td>
<td>Exhibits appropriate rapport based on reflection with students consistently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.5 InTASC 8 VA 3 Technology</td>
<td>g. Candidates demonstrate the ability to facilitate meaningful digital learning experiences.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate utilizes digital tools in the facilitation of learning experiences.</td>
<td>Utilizes digital tools in the facilitation of learning experiences.</td>
<td>Effectively utilizes digital tools in the facilitation of learning experiences to enhance student learning.</td>
<td>Maximizes the affordances of digital tools in the facilitation of learning experiences to enhance student learning and teach students to use digital technology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)
Level of Growth:  
N=No opportunity to observe  0=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level)  1-2=Beginning  3-4=Acceptable  5-6=Target
### CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

#### Standard 4: Assessing Student Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4 InTASC 6, 7 VA 3</td>
<td>a. Identifies or develops methods of assessing student work that require problem solving and/or critical thinking, as appropriate.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate identifies methods of assessing student work that require problem solving and/or critical thinking, as appropriate.</td>
<td>Identifies methods of assessing student work that require problem solving and/or critical thinking, as appropriate.</td>
<td>Chooses or develops appropriate methods of assessing student work that require problem solving and/or critical thinking, as appropriate.</td>
<td>Reflects on the effectiveness of methods of assessing student work in order to adjust future assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1 InTASC 6, 10 VA 3</td>
<td>b. Explains and shares criteria for assessing student work.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate communicates performance criteria to students, parents, and administrators.</td>
<td>Communicates performance criteria to students, parents, and administrators.</td>
<td>Communicates criteria to students, confirms their understanding, and applies criteria consistently.</td>
<td>Reviews students’ understanding of assessment and adjusts assessment process and criteria to clarify learning goals and performance expectations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2 InTASC 6 VA 3</td>
<td>c. Collects and uses data from multiple sources to assess student learning.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate recognizes the purpose and value of multiple assessment tools and can apply them to evaluate student learning.</td>
<td>Recognizes the purpose and value of multiple assessment tools and can apply them to evaluate student learning.</td>
<td>Uses multiple assessment tools to determine student mastery and correlates data from multiple sources to assess cumulative student progress.</td>
<td>Reflects on assessment outcomes for individual and group learning to determine appropriateness of methods, design of assessment tools, clarity of criteria, and/or need for additional data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1 InTASC 2, 6, 7 VA 3 Diversity</td>
<td>d. Involves and guides all students in assessing and reflecting on their own learning.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate articulates the value of student self-assessment and/or can identify some tools and processes that can be used to help students assess their work.</td>
<td>Articulates the value of student self-assessment and can identify some tools and processes that can be used to help students assess their work.</td>
<td>Provides feedback to students about current and completed work and encourages students to offer input on their personal work quality and habits.</td>
<td>Provides guidelines/tools for students’ self-reflection about work progress, completion, and quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2 InTASC 6, 7, 8 VA 3</td>
<td>e. Uses assessment data to profile student learning and guide instruction (formative).</td>
<td>No evidence candidate knows the appropriate use of assessment data in determining students’ understanding of concepts and mastery of skills.</td>
<td>Knows the appropriate use of assessment data in determining students’ understanding of concepts and mastery of skills.</td>
<td>Uses required assessments; uses a variety of data sources for instructional planning; monitors students’ understanding during some instructional activities.</td>
<td>Evaluates assessment data to develop individual and group profiles that reflect progress of all students and addresses levels of need and learning accomplishments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

## Standard 4: Assessing Student Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, InTASC 6, 10 VA 3, 5</td>
<td>f. Communicates with students, families, and other audiences about student progress.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate identifies current methods for communicating student progress to students and families.</td>
<td>Identifies current methods for communicating student progress to students and families.</td>
<td>Gives feedback about current progress to students; gives feedback to families and support personnel when needed or required.</td>
<td>Reviews current progress, learning strategies, and possible interventions with students and, when appropriate, communicates that information to families, colleagues, and support personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2 InTASC 6, 7 VA 3, 6</td>
<td>g. Analyzes and reflects on student assessment data to improve instructional practice (summative).</td>
<td>No evidence candidate reviews assessment data and identifies links to current instructional plans.</td>
<td>Reviews assessment data and identifies links to current instructional plans.</td>
<td>Analyzes student assessment data to check effectiveness of some instructional plans; requests feedback from supervisors re: improving instructional methods.</td>
<td>Uses individual and group progress data to reflect on teaching effectiveness; identifies specific adjustments needed to improve student learning outcomes for all students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 3.5 InTASC 6, 7, 8</td>
<td>h. Provides evidence of a positive impact on student growth and/or learning.</td>
<td>No evidence candidate recognizes the value of collecting and analyzing data to determine student growth and/or learning but does not yet provide clear evidence of a positive impact.</td>
<td>Recognizes the value of collecting and analyzing data to determine student growth and/or learning but does not yet provide clear evidence of a positive impact.</td>
<td>Provides clear evidence of a positive impact on student growth and/or learning.</td>
<td>Provides clear evidence of positive impact on student growth and/or learning. Reflects on relationship between student growth and/or learning and instructional practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.5 InTASC 6 VA 3 Technology</td>
<td>i. Candidates demonstrate the ability to track and share student performance data digitally.</td>
<td>No evidence provided on candidate’s ability to track and share student performance data digitally.</td>
<td>Only partial evidence provided on candidate’s ability to track and share student performance data digitally.</td>
<td>Candidate demonstrates the ability to effectively track and share student performance data digitally.</td>
<td>Candidate demonstrates the ability to effectively track and share student performance data digitally to enhance content mastery and student learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)

Level of Growth:
- N=No opportunity to observe
- 0=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level)
- 1-2=Beginning
- 3-4=Acceptable
- 5-6=Target
### CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

#### Standard 5: Developing as a Professional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>a. Exhibits a commitment to professional standards associated with their areas of expertise.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate incorporates professional standards into work with students</td>
<td>Aware of professional organizations and can articulate standards associated with their area of expertise.</td>
<td>Incorporates professional standards into written work and discussions.</td>
<td>Extends own professional practice by reflecting on professional literature or by being an active member of a professional organization or by attending professional workshops, seminars, and/or conferences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4, 3.3 InTASC 1, 2, 3 VA 1, 4 Diversity</td>
<td>b. Supports learning environments that encourage the academic and social growth of all students.</td>
<td>No evidence that candidate considers the different abilities, needs, learning styles, and cultures of students in work with students</td>
<td>Articulates the need to consider students’ differing abilities, needs, learning styles, cultures, etc. of students in one’s work with students.</td>
<td>Develops work plans that address different abilities, needs, learning styles, cultures, etc.</td>
<td>Reflects on work with students to determine how well a positive learning environment was created; Considers different approaches to meeting the needs, etc. of students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 2, 3 Diversity</td>
<td>c. Recognizes the importance of the social context of schooling.</td>
<td>No evidence that the candidate recognizes the importance of the social context of schooling</td>
<td>Recognizes the importance of external factors outside the classroom and school that affect student learning.</td>
<td>Develops work plans and responds to students in ways that demonstrate an understanding of the influence of external factors outside the classroom and school.</td>
<td>Makes adjustments to work plans and relationships with students that reflect an understanding of the influence of external factors on student learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.4, 3.3 InTASC 2, 7, 8 VA 1, 3, 4 Diversity</td>
<td>d. Has high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>Evidence that candidate has low expectations for at least some students. Candidate fails to support student learning.</td>
<td>Aware of developmental growth and age appropriate choices. Considers the needs of all students when designing work plans.</td>
<td>Communicates the belief that all students can learn, chooses appropriate activities for differing ability levels and needs that fits the content and student requirements.</td>
<td>1. Provides emotional and academic support to students and communicates confidence in their ability to complete assigned work; modifies plans to provide opportunities for all students to meet or exceed objectives through supportive critique of student learning that reflects challenging ideas and suggestions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The alignments and key elements are based on CAEP, InTASC, and VA standards. The table grades the candidates on a scale from 0 to 6, indicating their level of development in meeting the professional standards.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable 0</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification) 1 2</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, Reflects) 3 4</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects) 5 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 2 VA 6 Diversity</td>
<td>e. Takes other perspectives into account.</td>
<td>No respect for other viewpoints</td>
<td>Willing to listen to other perspectives.</td>
<td>Considers other perspectives respectfully. Responds appropriately.</td>
<td>Actively seeks out other perspectives, and appreciates their point of view. May adjust own view upon reflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>f. Adheres to professional code of ethics in decision making.</td>
<td>Has been observed to make unethical decisions</td>
<td>Awareness of professional ethical expectations of his/her school and profession.</td>
<td>Monitors personal performance in accordance with a professional code of ethics mind and is familiar with school’s faculty handbook.</td>
<td>Makes fair, ethical decisions that do not put others at risk. Considers the appropriateness of guidelines and understands how to advocate for exceptions when needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 1.2, 3.3 InTASC 1, 6 VA 6</td>
<td>g. Engages in reflective practice based on evidence of teaching effectiveness and student learning.</td>
<td>No evidence of the ability or willingness to reflect on effectiveness; unaware of effectiveness or student learning.</td>
<td>Articulates the importance of collecting evidence of one's effectiveness; Generally accurate impression of student learning.</td>
<td>Collects, analyzes data of one's effectiveness and student learning; Can accurately judge effectiveness and student learning.</td>
<td>Reflects upon, interprets, and communicates evidence of one's own effectiveness as a teacher, including evidence of success in fostering student progress in learning. Uses evidence of effectiveness in planning for further instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 2, 9 VA 6</td>
<td>h. Demonstrates integrity.</td>
<td>Candidate has been observed to cheat, lie, be dishonest or violate confidentiality and privacy of others</td>
<td>Aware of the importance of honesty, truthfulness, and confidentiality in all dealings.</td>
<td>Demonstrates honesty, truthfulness, and confidentiality; represents positions of others accurately. Admits mistakes or lack of knowledge.</td>
<td>Encourages integrity in others. When faced with a dilemma, shows appropriate understanding of all sides. Exhibits respect for dignity and worth of all individuals. Respects confidences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>i. Accepts responsibility.</td>
<td>Late to meetings; misses deadlines; needs to be reminded often of obligations</td>
<td>Aware of responsibilities with occasional lapses. Carries out tasks associated with role, but may have inadequate forethought.</td>
<td>Accepts tasks associated with role. Meets expectations of instructors or supervisors.</td>
<td>Reflects on ability to meet expectations; plans and carries out tasks associated with role promptly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>j. Shows initiative.</td>
<td>Overly dependent on external authority; Needs prompting to initiate action.</td>
<td>Some dependency on external authority; aware of importance of taking initiative</td>
<td>Initiates appropriate actions independently</td>
<td>Initiates appropriate actions in ways that go beyond individual classroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignments</td>
<td>Key Elements</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
<td>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</td>
<td>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</td>
<td>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>k. Demonstrates commitment to chosen professional role.</td>
<td>Lacks enthusiasm for professional role.</td>
<td>Somewhat aware of values and demands of profession.</td>
<td>Expresses enthusiasm for being an educator and working with students.</td>
<td>Exhibits professional persona characterized by enthusiasm and a strong, articulate commitment to the profession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>i. Exhibits sound judgment.</td>
<td>Exhibits questionable judgment; inconsistent; makes decisions hastily or contrary to circumstances; does not adhere to school division policy regarding professional attire.</td>
<td>Exhibits subjective judgment based on personal experience or personal value system.</td>
<td>Exhibits sound judgment based on personal experience and relevant information or considers various views.</td>
<td>Makes decisions based on relevant information and best practice. Considers implications and consequences and views of others in making decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>m. Accepts and welcomes constructive criticism.</td>
<td>Rejects constructive criticism; argues and or/ gives excuses; becomes defiant</td>
<td>Aware of value of interaction, exchange of ideas and points of view.</td>
<td>Accepts constructive criticism gracefully.</td>
<td>Seeks constructive criticism. Acts on suggestions for improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1, 3.3 InTASC 9 VA 6</td>
<td>n. Models appropriate assertiveness.</td>
<td>Inappropriate or inconsistent assertiveness, e.g. overly forceful or shy and reserved.</td>
<td>Articulates that assertiveness can range from passive to excessive.</td>
<td>Articulates positions and takes actions appropriate to the situation.</td>
<td>Articulates positions, is proactive in such areas as classroom management; and works actively for the betterment of teaching and learning in taking specific action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.5 InTASC 9 VA 6 Technology</td>
<td>0. Candidates demonstrate technology knowledge and skill proficiencies (e.g., using databases, digital media, social networks, and/or electronic sources) to enrich professional practice.</td>
<td>Does not demonstrate an understanding or use of available technology resources to improve professional practice.</td>
<td>Has knowledge of and accesses electronic resources for classroom use and/or professional practice.</td>
<td>Uses electronic resources to enhance classroom instruction and/or improve professional practice.</td>
<td>Creates effective electronic resources to enhance classroom instruction and/or improve professional practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)
Level of Growth:  
N=No opportunity to observe  0=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level)  1-2=Beginning  3-4=Acceptable  5-6=Target
### CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS

#### Standard 6: Advocating for Social Justice and Equity and Developing Family and Community Relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignments</th>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning (Awareness, articulation, identification)</th>
<th>Acceptable (Puts into practice, uses, implements, reflects)</th>
<th>Target (Builds on the reflection, makes changes to improve, adjusts, expands, connects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3 VA 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>b. Builds relationships with students’ families and other members of the community who are important to students in and outside of school life.</td>
<td>Does not communicate with students’ families on issues related to students’ academic performance and/or behavior.</td>
<td>Communicates with students’ families on issues related to students’ academic performance and/or behavior.</td>
<td>Develops open communication with students’ families and significant community others. Communicates both positive and negative information.</td>
<td>Welcomes open, two-way communications with students and families and significant community others. Uses information obtained in communications to inform instruction and classroom interactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3 VA 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>c. Commits to excellence, equity and high expectations for all students.</td>
<td>No evidence of candidate awareness of how access and expectations relate to (mis)understandings across social categories such as race, gender, and sexual orientation.</td>
<td>Begins to be aware of how access and expectations relate to (mis)understandings across social categories such as race, gender, and sexual orientation.</td>
<td>Consciously attempts to implement instructional strategies that: value learners’ uniqueness, meet learners at their current performance levels, and challenge them in ways that are rigorous and attainable.</td>
<td>Regularly invites students to explore diversity in structurally meaningful ways in lesson plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 3 VA 1, 3, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEP 1.1</td>
<td>d. Advocates for students and social justice issues that affect classrooms and communities.</td>
<td>No evidence of candidate understanding of teachers as advocates.</td>
<td>Recognizes that teachers have a legitimate role as advocates.</td>
<td>Participates in social justice communities and activities.</td>
<td>Identifies and acts on issues relevant to school and/or community. Integrates social justice/critical pedagogy into instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InTASC 2, 3 VA 4 Diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please mark level of growth for each criterion)

Level of Growth: N=No opportunity to observe 0=Unacceptable (has not yet reached beginning level) 1-2 =Beginning 3-4=Acceptable 5-6=Target
CLINICAL EVALUATION CONTINUUM FOR CANDIDATES IN INITIAL LICENSURE PROGRAMS
NARRATIVE SECTION

Please type or write comments for each of the following criteria: Midterm _____ Final _____

Creating and Maintaining a Positive and Safe Learning Environment

Planning for Instruction

Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning

Assessing Student Learning

Developing as a Professional

Supervisor/Cooperating Teacher/Principal’s Signature _______________________________ Date: __________________________

Position: __________________________________________________________________________

The candidate’s signature below indicates this document has been read and reviewed. It does not necessarily reflect agreement.

Candidate’s Signature _____________________________________________________________ Date: __________________________
1) Please assess the student teaching intern on each of the following six core standards derived from the VCU Clinical Evaluation Continuum. Please click here for a reference table that gives further detail about each standard.

For the target level, the exception is that the candidate at the end of the experience, while not yet reaching the level of an accomplished teacher, shows characteristics of an accomplished teacher for the standard. Candidates are evaluated on each standard on a scale of 0 (unacceptable) to six (target). Scores of 1-2 may be given for candidates at the beginning level, 3-4 for those at the acceptable level, and 5-6 for those at the target level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 1: Creating and Maintaining a Positive and Safe Learning Environment</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard 2: Planning for Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 3: Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 4: Assessing Student Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 5: Developing as a Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 6: Advocating for Social Justice and Equity and Developing Family and Community Relationships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) How does our VCU intern’s performance compare with interns from other institutions over the last three years?
   ( ) Significantly above average peer performance
   ( ) Above average peer performance
   ( ) Average peer performance
   ( ) Below average peer performance

3) What is the likelihood that you would hire this VCU intern to teach in your school?
   ( ) Very likely
   ( ) Likely
   ( ) Not very likely
   ( ) Not at all likely

4) Based on your observations of this VCU intern and the needs of your school, please provide any comments or suggestions to improve our educator preparation curriculum and clinical experiences to better ready our candidates for the classroom.

___________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

Thank You!
The final evaluation is meant to be summative and will drive the grading criteria. The intern/student teacher’s grades are awarded by the university supervisor after consultation with the clinical faculty/cooperating teacher. These grades should be considered a reflection of how well the intern/student teacher performed assigned duties.

Students enrolled in the Early/Elementary Education program grades also take into consideration their completed portfolio of lesson plans as well as other artifacts of practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
<th>Rubric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A total score of 180 or higher. At least 50% of the ratings at 5 or 6 on each standard. No score below a 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>A total score of 160-179. No score below a 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>A total score of 140-159. No more than 5 ratings below a 3. No scores of “unacceptable” (0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>A total score of 120-139. No more than 8 ratings below a 3. No more than one score of “unacceptable” (0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>More than one rating is at the “unacceptable” level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

Collaborative Reflection Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intern</th>
<th>Cooperating Teacher</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Meeting with Intern and Cooperating Teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Meeting with Intern and Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Meeting with Intern, Cooperating Teacher, and Supervisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is Working:  

Challenges or Concerns:

Intern’s Next Steps:  

Clinical Faculty’s Next Steps:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 1: Creating and Maintaining a Positive and Safe Learning Environment</th>
<th>Standard 4: Assessing Student Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard 2: Planning for Instruction</td>
<td>Standard 5: Developing as a Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 3: Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning and Equity</td>
<td>Standard 6: Advocating for Social Justice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foundations in Mentoring for Clinical Faculty, Revised 2017  
Center for Teacher Leadership @ Virginia Commonwealth University, School of Education  
Center – Santa Cruz, California  
Adapted from New Teacher Center – Santa Cruz, California
Appendix D

Individual Learning Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed by: Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level/Subject Area:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Element (<em>Rating and description</em>):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengths:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas for Growth:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Steps:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Desired:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional SMART Goal:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“**I will (goal + performance measure) BY (specific actions).**”

Specific ~ Measurable ~ Attainable ~ Relevant ~ Time-bound Goals

**Evidence:**
# Appendix D.b

## Plan for Reflective Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentor/Coach:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Teaching Standard:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Element:</th>
<th>Self Rating:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Goal:**

“I will (goal + performance measure) BY (specific actions).”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan:</th>
<th>Support Needed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Evidence:**

---

Specific ~ Measurable ~ Attainable ~ Relevant ~ Time-bound Goals

Adapted from New Teacher Center—Santa Cruz, California
Center for Teacher Leadership at Virginia Commonwealth University School of Education
Appendix E
Lesson Plan Format

I. Purpose:
   - General statement presenting a justification for teaching the lesson
   - Include the corresponding SOL

II. Objectives:
   - Specific statement(s) of what you expect the student to know and be able to do at the conclusion of the lesson. (The student will be able to…..)
   - Let students know exactly what is expected

III. Procedure: Includes three components
   a. Introduction
      - Statement of what is to be learned and/or
      - Demonstration of what is to be learned and/or
      - Link to prior experience or learning and/or
   b. Development
      - The actual teaching or presentation of the new information and activities (the heart of the lesson)
      - Describe the activities
      - Activities should provide an opportunity for the teacher to monitor and check for understanding
   c. Summary
      - Closure to the lesson (How will you end the lesson?)
      - Summarizes major concepts
      - New information should not be introduced in the summary
      - This could include evaluation activities such as students’ work, a whole class discussion and/or evaluations

IV. Materials needed for the lesson

V. Evaluation Part A: (Pre-lesson assessment of the lesson to be completed before the lesson has been taught)
   - How will you assess the students’ knowledge of the new skills taught?
• Keep in mind the fact that your evaluation should be connected to your objective. You should be assessing for whatever you said the students would know and be able to do in the objective.

VI. Adaptations/Remediation (For students with learning challenges)
• How will you modify the lesson to meet the needs of students you expect to have difficulties?

VII. Extensions (For advanced/gifted students)
• How will you change the lesson to meet the needs of students you know will master the concepts fairly quickly or already know the information presented in the lesson?

VIII. Evaluation Part B: (Post-lesson assessment and reflection of the lesson to be completed after the lesson has been taught)
• Did the students meet your objectives?
• How do you know?
• Did your lesson accommodate/address the needs of all your learners?
• What were the strengths of the lesson?
• What were the weaknesses?
• How would you change the lesson if you could teach it again?
Appendix F
Early Hire Agreement

The Early Hire Agreement allows interns enrolled in a Teacher Preparation Program to complete the Master of Teaching Degree while hired as a teacher in a school division. Under this contract agreement the university agrees to provide a university supervisor from the date of hire to the end of the first year of teaching.

The school division agrees to provide a Teacher Mentor who will support the practicing intern for the first year of teaching.

Intern’s Name: ___________________________ Request Date: ___________________________
Endorsement Area: ___________________________
Address: __________________________________________
Telephone #: ___________________________ E-Mail: ___________________________
School Division: ___________________________ School Name: ___________________________ Grade: ___________________________

Mentor Teacher’s Name: __________________________________________
Telephone #: ___________________________ E-Mail: ___________________________
Content Area: ___________________________ Area(s) of Endorsement: ___________________________
Years of Teaching Experience: ___________________________

University Supervisor’s Name: ___________________________
Telephone #: ___________________________ E-Mail ___________________________

APPROVAL SIGNATURES

School Division: __________________________________________
Director of Human Resource Designee Date ___________________________
Principal Date ___________________________

VCU: __________________________________________
University Supervisor Date ___________________________
Advisor Date ___________________________
Department Chair Date ___________________________
Exec. Dir., Accreditation and Licensure Date ___________________________

Return to Dr. Joan Johnson, Executive Director of Accreditation and Licensure, School of Education,
email: jbjohnson@vcu.edu or fax at (804) 828-1323. Revised 07/2018
Appendix G

Undergraduate/Graduate Graduation Application Information
School of Education

Undergraduate and Graduate applications are now available online. Go to eservices on VCU’s website and follow the instructions listed. Your application will be electronically submitted. Hard copies are no longer accepted.

Graduate Honors Policy

Candidates for a baccalaureate degree who have completed a minimum of 45 credits at VCU with a final VCU grade-point average of 3.30 or better may qualify for graduation honors.

Awarding of honors for students who have completed all degree requirements at VCU is based on the student’s final VCU grade-point average at the time of graduation:

- Cum Laude is awarded for 3.30 to 3.59
- Magna Cum Laude is awarded for 3.60 to 3.89
- Summa Cum Laude is awarded for 3.90 and above

Awarding of honors for students who have transferred courses to VCU to complete their degree requirements is based on a combination of their transfer and VCU work. The combined grade-point average is compared to their final VCU work only. The grade-point average used to determine honors can be no higher than their final VCU grade-point average. For example, if a student’s final VCU grade-point average is 3.35 and the combined grade-point average is 3.60, the VCU grade-point average would be used. If this same individual’s combined grade-point average was 3.29, it would be used to determine honors, not the final VCU grade-point average.

Recognition of graduation honors will be made on the student’s diploma, permanent record, and in the Commencement Book. If you earn this distinction and desire special chords to signify this honor, you may purchase them through the VCU Bookstore.
Appendix H

MARCHING PERMISSION
This form is submitted by students who would like to participate in this semester’s School of Education Graduation Ceremony. With verification from your advisor that you have six or fewer hours to complete for graduation, you will be eligible to participate in the SOE graduation festivities. Please have your advisor to sign off on the form and submit the completed to the School of Education Dean’s Office, Oliver Hall, Room 2090.

Semester: Fall _____ Spring _____ (check one)  Year ______________________________

Name_________________________________________  V#_____________________________

Address________________________________________

Email Address ________________________________  Phone __________________________

Degree_________________________________________  Major__________________________

Upon completion of this semester, I have earned:   _____ hours of course work

_____ transfer credits

_____ VCU credits

Overall GPA _____    Major GPA ______

Next semester I am registered for the following:

Courses                  Credits

__________________________  __________

__________________________  __________

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

I have verified that the information provided is accurate and complete and supports this request to participate in this semester’s graduation ceremony.

________________________________________________   __________________________

Advisor’s Signature                      Date

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Approved:

________________________________________________   __________________________

Executive Director of Accreditation and Licensure                      Date
NEW Certification in Emergency First Aid, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation, and Use of the Automated Defibrillators - HANDS ON PRACTICE REQUIRED and Course offered at VCU Cary Street Gym

Recent Virginia legislation (House Bill 2028 and Senate Bill 986) requires that all applicants for initial licensure or licensure renewal must complete training in "Emergency First Aid, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation, and Use of Automated Defibrillators." All applicants applying for licensure must submit written documentation that clearly indicates that all three topics (emergency first aid, CPR, AED) were included in the certification or training. This certification or training program shall be based on the current national evidence-based emergency cardiovascular care for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and the use of an automated external defibrillator, such as a program developed by the American Heart Association or the American Red Cross.

Effective September 1, 2017, certification or training in emergency first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and the use of automated external defibrillators that is required of every person seeking initial licensure or renewal of a license as a teacher shall include hands-on practice of the skills necessary to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Currently, the Cary Street Gym offers a course called “American Heart Association Heartsaver First Aid/CPR/AED” which does satisfy this requirement. Please follow the directions at this website:

http://www.recsports.vcu.edu

to sign up for the course. Please make sure you only sign up for: “American Heart Association Heartsaver First Aid/CPR/AED”, as that is the only course offered at the Cary Street Gym that satisfies this licensure requirement. The certifications come in usually within 2-3 weeks and you will be notified to come to the Cary St Gym to pick it up. You will note that the course is available on Saturdays so that you will not need to miss any time from internship/student teaching during the semester. You must submit your certificate with your licensure application.
Appendix J

Mandatory Dyslexia Training

Recent Virginia Legislation (House Bill 842) – NEW Dyslexia Awareness Training Module – Effective July 1, 2017, every person seeking initial licensure or renewal of a license shall complete awareness training, provided by the Virginia Department of Education, on the indicators of dyslexia, as that term is defined by the board pursuant to regulations, and the evidence-based interventions and accommodations for dyslexia. This module is accessible from PCs and Mac computers. Viewing on an iPad or smartphone requires the download of the free “mobile player.” Users should complete the module in one session and have access to a printer. For more detailed information, please visit the VDOE’s website at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/teaching/licensure/index.shtml
Appendix K

Instructions for Application for Teacher Licensure

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY
STUDENT SERVICES CENTER

Licensure applications (with $50.00 check, money order, or cashier’s check made payable to the Treasurer of Virginia) will be accepted starting January 10 during the Fall semester, and June 1 during the Spring semester.

The procedure for making application for a State of Virginia Teaching License for Virginia Commonwealth University requires you as an applicant to complete the following documentation and return to:

Virginia Commonwealth University - School of Education
Student Services Center - 1015 W. Main Street Oliver Hall, Room 1037- Richmond, Virginia 23284-2020

YOUR APPLICATION WILL NOT BE PROCESSED IF IT IS RECEIVED INCOMPLETE.

Please follow this checklist carefully.

1. Your Application for Virginia Teaching Certificate form MUST be completed, signed, and dated. Please check carefully for accuracy.
2. The top portion of your College Verification Form (PART I ONLY) must be completely filled out, including your degree and date of conferral (graduation date).
3. The Supervised Teaching Report form MUST include the signature of your Student Teaching Supervisor or Degree Program Advisor. (if applicable)
4. The Student Teaching Record form MUST include the signature of your Degree Program Advisor or Student Teaching Supervisor. (if applicable)
5. A Personal Check, money order, cashier’s check, or certified check in the amount of $50.00, payable to the Treasurer of Virginia, for the application fee (non-refundable) MUST be stapled to your application.
6. Transcripts: If you completed either your undergraduate and/or graduate degrees at VCU, you must request your own official transcripts for either/both degrees. If you hold an undergraduate degree from another college or university, you MUST provide an official transcript from that institution with your application.
7. Score Reports: All applicants seeking licensure MUST include a copy of your individual score reports, even though you had your scores sent to VCU. (The testing services only send electronic lists of student scores, not individual reports. The VA DOE requires a copy of each applicant’s individual score reports.)
   a. Early Elementary Education Students: Praxis II, RVE, VCLA, Child Abuse Certificate, First Aid, CPR & AED hands on training documentation and Dyslexia Awareness training documentation.
   b. Secondary Education Students: Praxis II, VCLA, Child Abuse Certificate, First Aid, CPR & AED hands on training documentation and Dyslexia Awareness training documentation.
   c. Special Education Students: VRA or RVE-if taken after July 1, 2011, VCLA, Child Abuse Certificate, First Aid, CPR & AED hands on training documentation and Dyslexia Awareness training documentation.
   d. Early Childhood Special Education Students: VCLA, Child Abuse Certificate, First Aid, CPR & AED hands on training documentation and Dyslexia Awareness training documentation.
   e. Art Education Students: Praxis II, VCLA, Child Abuse Certificate, First Aid, CPR & AED hands on training documentation and Dyslexia Awareness training documentation.
Upon receipt of your completed application, the Student Services Center will forward it to the Virginia State Department of Education. The State Department of Education will then process your application and communicate with you directly concerning your certificate and the conditions under which your certificate will be issued. **The whole certification process will take approximately 2-3 months.** Because the Student Services Center handles such a large volume of applications, we request that your entire application be stapled together (including your fee) to ensure all contents of your application stay connected. If you have any questions concerning certification, please come by the office or call 804-827-2670.

**PLEASE DOWNLOAD THE APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE PACKET FROM THE FOLLOWING WEBSITE:**

http://www.doe.virginia.gov (on right side of screen click on Licensure – Application is in middle of page)

**COMPLETE PACKET SHOULD INCLUDE:**

1. Completed and Signed Application for Virginia License  
2. College Verification Form (Complete Part I ONLY)  
3. Report on Experience Form (ONLY if you have experience)  
4. Student Teaching Record (if applicable)  
5. Supervised Teaching Report (if applicable)  
6. Copy of required test scores (see list above)  
7. Child Abuse Certificate  
8. Documentation on First Aid, CPR & AED **hands-on training** (your signature must be on document)  
9. **Dyslexia Awareness Training documentation**  
10. Check, Money Order or Cashier’s Check in the amount of $50.00 MADE PAYBLE TO THE “TREASURER OF VIRGINIA” WITH YOUR COMPLETED PACKET

**ADDING AN ENDORSEMENT AREA TO A TEACHING LICENSE**

In the Commonwealth of Virginia, a teacher can add an endorsement to their teaching license by passing the Praxis II test in the subject in which the endorsement is sought. This option is not available to secondary teachers for adding an endorsement in either Elementary Education or Special Education, although secondary teachers may add endorsements in other secondary subjects. Elementary and special education teachers are allowed to add secondary subject endorsements, as well. (For example, a licensed English teacher could add Physics to his or her initial license but not elementary through this means. However, an elementary teacher could take the Praxis II in English to add an English endorsement to her initial license.) William & Mary teacher candidates may seek additional endorsements through this process when initially applying for their licenses.
Supervised Teaching Report

Both pages of this form must be completed in full and signed by your university supervisor or advisor before you submit it with your teacher certification application.

Student V#________________________________ Semester ______________________

Name ________________________________________________________________
   Last                                      First                                      Middle/Maiden

Permanent Address ____________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Telephone________________________ Email:_________________________________

Virginia Commonwealth University
Name of Institution __________________ Degree Sought __________________
________________________________________________________________________

School/Department __________________ Date of Graduation __________________

________________________________________________________________________

Name of Advisor __________________ Name of College Supervisor for Student Teaching
Student Teaching Record

Name (please print) ____________________________________________________________

Student Teaching Course: TEDU_____________  Semester Credit Hours:______________

Title of Student Teaching Course: ______________________________________________

Student Teaching Dates:________________________________________________________

School Placement(s) for Student Teaching:_______________________________________

High School Subject(s) taught: ________________________________________________
  (not including Special Education)

Elementary or Middle School Grade(s) in which placed (not including Special Education): ___________

Special Education: Specific area(s) and grade level(s) taught: _____________________

____________________________________________________________________________

Areas for which certification is recommended:
(Be specific: include level where appropriate: elementary, middle, secondary. Elementary and middle applicants must indicate two areas of concentration.)

____________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________

Signature of University Supervisor or Advisor

________________________________________________________

Date

---
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CODE OF ETHICS OF THE EDUCATION PROFESSION
National Education Association

Preamble
The educator, believing in the worth and dignity of each human being, recognizes the supreme importance of the pursuit of truth, devotion to excellence, and the nurture of democratic principles. Essential to these goals is the protection of freedom to learn and to teach and the guarantee of equal educational opportunity for all. The educator accepts the responsibility to adhere to the highest ethical standards.

The educator recognizes the magnitude of the responsibility inherent in the teaching process. The desire for the respect and confidence of one’s colleagues, of students, of parents and of the members of the community provides the incentive to attain and maintain the highest possible degree of ethical conduct. The Code of Ethics of the Education Profession indicates the aspiration of all educators and provides standards by which to judge conduct.

The remedies specified by the NEA and/or its affiliates for the violation of any provision of this Code shall be exclusive and no such provision shall be enforceable in any form other than one specifically designated by the NEA or its affiliates.

Principle I Commitment to the Student
The educator strives to help each student realize his or her potential as a worthy and effective member of society. The educator therefore works to stimulate the spirit of inquiry, the acquisition of knowledge and understanding, and the thoughtful formulation of worthy goals.

In fulfillment of the obligation to the student, the educator:
1. Shall not unreasonably restrain the student from independent action in the pursuit of learning.
2. Shall not unreasonably deny the student access to varying points of view.
3. Shall not deliberately suppress or distort subject matter relevant to the student’s progress.
4. Shall make reasonable effort to protect the student from conditions harmful to learning or to health and safety.
5. Shall not intentionally expose the student to embarrassment or disparagement.
6. Shall not on the basis of race, color, creed, sex, national origin, marital status, political or religious beliefs, family, social or cultural background, or sexual orientation, unfairly:
   a. exclude any student from participation in any program.
   b. deny any benefits to any students.
   c. Grant any advantage to any student.
7. Shall not use professional relationships with students for private advantage.
8. Shall not disclose information about students obtained in the course of professional service, unless disclosure serves a compelling professional purpose or is required by law.
Principle II Commitment to the Profession
The education profession is vested by the public with a trust and responsibility requiring the highest ideals of professional service.

In the belief that the quality of the services of the education profession directly influences the nation and its citizens, the educator shall exert every effort to raise professional judgement, to achieve conditions which attract persons worthy of the trust to careers in education, and to assist in preventing the practice of the profession by unqualified persons.

In fulfillment of the obligation to the profession, the educator:

1. Shall not in an application for a professional position deliberately make a false statement or fail to disclose material fact related to competency and qualifications.
2. Shall not misrepresent his or her professional qualifications.
3. Shall not assist entry into the profession of a person known to be unqualified in respect to character, education or other relevant attributes.
4. Shall not knowingly make a false statement concerning the qualifications of a candidate for a professional position.
5. Shall not assist a non-educator in the unauthorized practice of teaching.
6. Shall not disclose information about colleagues obtained in the course of professional service unless disclosure serves a compelling professional purpose or is required by law.
7. Shall not accept any gratuity, gift, or favor that might impair or appear to influence professional decisions or actions.
Appendix M

Statement of Policies and Procedures:
Standards for Observing and Reporting Instances of Violence, Title IX Sexual Harassment, Child Abuse, and Neglect

All VCU students who are participating in clinical placements in school settings observing or teaching (in practica, internships, externships, or any other kind of field placement) are expected to abide by school district policies and state laws and regulations regarding violence, sexual harassment, and child abuse and neglect. VCU students should conduct themselves appropriately with all school professionals and students; violation of these laws and regulations can cause termination and loss of grade and credit.

When preK-12 students in field placement schools are the target of violence, sexual harassment or child abuse and neglect, VCU students are required to report same using required school district procedures and VCU procedures listed below.

Finally, VCU students are themselves protected as individuals and are not expected or required to remain in a school setting where there is a credible threat or reality of violence, where they may be the target of sexual harassment, or where child abuse and neglect is present and tolerated. If such a threat or reality is confirmed, VCU students can be removed (or may remove themselves immediately) from the setting without penalty and placed in a more appropriate school environment.

Violence
Definition: Any threat or act of aggression, intimidation, bullying, harassment or assault in action, verbal, or written communication which would cause bodily injury, disruption, or deprive the person so targeted of his or her rights.

Policy: VCU students are expressly forbidden from engaging in any form of violence. If they observe instances of violence in the school setting, they are to document it and report it immediately. If they themselves are the targets of violence, whether by school personnel or students, they are to document it and report it immediately.

Procedure: The VCU student will:
- Immediately report the incident to the cooperating teacher;
- If the threat of violence is assessed as serious and immediate, remove him or herself from the setting as soon as possible and inform the cooperating teacher;
- In addition to reporting to the cooperating teacher, communicate in writing the date, facts and names of all involved and send the communication, marked urgent and confidential, the same day to the
  *Executive Director for Accreditation and Licensure with a copy to the students’ School of Education or School of the Arts advisor and university supervisor or practicum faculty. The Executive Director will acknowledge receipt of the communication and begin an investigation immediately.
  *Dr. Joan Johnson is Executive Director of Accreditation and Licensure, (804) 828-3382 or jbjohnson@vcu.edu

Sexual Harassment/Title IX for Students Participating in Off Campus Education Programs
VCU’s Sexual Misconduct/Violence and Sex/Gender Discrimination Policy prohibits Sexual Assault, Sexual Exploitation, Partner or Relationship Violence, Sex or Gender-Based Discrimination. Retaliation or Complicity in educational setting both on and off campus including internships, work-study programs and clinical rotations. Anyone who feels they have experienced prohibited conduct as defined in the policy is encouraged to report it.
**Definition:** Any unwelcome act or acts of a sexual nature including sexual advances, requests for sexual favors and/or other verbal or physical conduct, including written communications, verbal communications, physical contact, and the display of sexually suggestive photographs or literature.

**Policy:** VCU students are expressly forbidden from engaging in sexual harassment. If they observe instances of harassment in the school setting, they are to document it and report it immediately. If they themselves are the targets of sexual harassment, whether by school personnel or students, they are to document it and report it immediately.

**Procedure:** The VCU student will:
- Immediately report the incident to the cooperating teacher who will report to the principal. If the cooperating teacher is the alleged perpetrator, the student must report the incident to the principal. If the principal is the alleged perpetrator, then the student must report the incident directly to VCU as described below.
- If the threat of sexual harassment is assessed as serious and immediate, remove him or herself from the setting as soon as possible and inform the cooperating teacher, principal, or VCU (see bullet above);
- In addition to reporting to the cooperating teacher or principal, communicate in writing the date, facts and names of all involved and send the communication, marked urgent and confidential, the same day to the Executive Director of Accreditation and Licensure with a copy to the students’ School of Education or School of the Arts advisor and university supervisor or practicum faculty. The Executive Director will acknowledge receipt of the communication and begin an investigation immediately.

Please view the detailed policy below:

**Child Abuse and Neglect**

**Definition:** Any instances of physical abuse, neglect, sexual abuse, and/or emotional maltreatment (mental abuse) which are observed or suspected regarding students. Child abuse and neglect can emanate from the home or can be enacted in the school setting.

**Policy:** VCU students are expressly forbidden from engaging in any form of child abuse and/or neglect. If they observe instances of such in the school setting or evidence that it is occurring outside the school, they are to document it and report it immediately.

**Procedure:** The VCU student will:
- Immediately report the incident to the cooperating teacher who will, in turn, report the incident to the school designated reporter; if the teacher is the alleged perpetrator, the student must report the incident directly to the school designated reporter;
- If the child abuse is assessed as serious and immediate, remove him or herself from the setting as soon as possible and inform the cooperating teacher;
- In addition to reporting to the cooperating teacher, communicate in writing the date, facts and names of all involved and send the communication, marked urgent and confidential, the same day to the Executive...
Director of Accreditation and Licensure with a copy to the students’ School of Education or School of the Arts advisor and university supervisor or practicum faculty. The Executive Director will acknowledge receipt of the communication and begin an investigation immediately.

**Complete form below, print out page and return to VCU Student Services Center:**

*Child Abuse and Neglect*

Intern/student Teacher Name: (Print) ________________________________

Program: ________________________________

School Division: ________________________________

Student Signature: ________________________________ Date ____________

Cooperating Teacher Name: (Print) ________________________________

Signature: ________________________________ Date ____________